1 2 3 4 5 6	NANCI L. CLARENCE (SBN 122286) NICOLE HOWELL NEUBERT (SBN 246078) Clarence Dyer & Cohen LLP 899 Ellis Street San Francisco, CA 94109 Tel: (415) 749-1800 Fax: (415) 749-1694 nclarence@clarencedyer.com nhneubert@clarencedyer.com Attorneys for Defendant		
7	ELENA MORENO		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. CR-12-00750-03-LHK	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
13	v.	ORDER CONTINUING MOTIONS HEARING	
14	FIDENCIO MORENO, et al.,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17 18	TO THE HONORABLE COURT, THE CLERK OF THE COURT, AND TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:		
19	Undersigned defense and government counsel hereby stipulate and request that the current		
20	motions hearing and corresponding briefing schedule be modified as set forth in the table below.		
21	A Proposed Order follows.		
22	This stipulation is based upon the followi	ng:	
23		e in this matter, defense counsel inspected the	
24	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-	
25	original evidence at government offices and requested copies of certain documents. The inspection		
26 27	appears sufficient for the issues counsel expects to present to the Court.		
28	2. On April 3, 2013, the government provide	ed additional discovery as requested, consisting of	
20	STIPULATION A	1 Case No. CR-12-0750 LHK ND [PROPOSED] ORDER MODIFYING HEARING DATE	

more than six thousand pages of documents, including materials relevant to the intended filing.

Defense counsel have been reviewing those materials.

- 3. Defense counsel have jointly and diligently pursued preparation, including but not limited to conferring and exchanging information and legal authority.
- 4. In the process of research and drafting, counsel have determined that certain legal issues are better and more clearly raised, answered and decided by the filing of two sets of papers rather than one very long memorandum of points and authorities. In addition, counsel have determined it is not feasible to meet the current filing date.
- 5. On Friday, April 5, 2013, defense counsel conferred with the Courtroom Deputy and learned that the new proposed hearing date is the next available for the Court.
- 6. On Monday, April 8, 2013, defense counsel conferred with SAUSA Charles Parker, who agrees to the modification of dates and jointly stipulates to this request.

This is the first request for additional time in connection with the instant motions.

Defendants are not in custody. Undersigned defense counsel believe that the additional time is necessary to the interests of justice and for effective preparation of counsel, and is sufficient to complete the preparation of the motions to be filed at that time. Government counsel does not object. The current and proposed new dates are as follows:

Event	Current Date	Proposed Date
Defense files opening brief	April 10, 2013	May 1, 2013
Government files opposition	April 24, 2013	May 15, 2013
Defense files reply brief	May 1, 2013	May 22, 2013
Status Conference/Motions Hearing	May 15, 2013, 9:00 a.m.	June 5, 2013 at 9:00 a.m.

1	For these reasons, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED that time under the Speedy Trial		
2	Act be excluded from May 15, 2013 until June 5, 2013, because the ends of justice		
3	outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, for effective		
4	preparation of defense counsel, and taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C.		
5	§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).		
6			
7	Respectfully submitted,		
8			
9	Dated: April 8, 2013	SIDEMAN & BANCROFT LLP	
10		/s/ Jay R. Weill Jay R. Weill	
11		Attorneys for Defendant Fidencio Moreno	
12			
13	Dated: April 8, 2013	LAW OFFICE OF LELAND B. ALTSCHULER	
14 15		/s/ Leland B. Altschuler Leland B. Altschuler Attorneys for Defendant Arturo Moreno	
16	D . 1 4 110 2012		
17	Dated: April 8, 2013	CLARENCE DYER & COHEN LLP /s/ Nanci L. Clarence	
18		Nanci L. Clarence	
19		Attorneys for Defendant Elena Moreno	
20	Dated: April 8, 2013	MELINDA HAAG	
21		UNITED STATES ATTORNEY	
22		/s/ Charles W. Parker	
23		Charles W. Parker Special Assistant United States Attorney	
24		Tax Division	
25			
26			
27			
28			

## 1 2 3 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 SAN JOSE DIVISION 8 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. CR-12-00750-03-LHK 9 [PROPOSED] ORDER Plaintiff, 10 v. 11 FIDENCIO MORENO, et al., 12 Defendant. 13 14 15 Good cause appearing therefore, IT IS ORDERED that time under the Speedy Trial act be 16 excluded until from May 15, 2013 through and including June 5, 2013, because the interests of 17 justice outweigh the best interests of the public and defendant in a speedy trial, for effective 18 preparation of defense counsel, and taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 19 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv). Defense counsel shall notify their clients of the new dates and that 20 they are ORDERED to appear at the new hearing. 21 22 DATED: April <u>9</u>, 2013 23 United State District Judge 24 25 26

27

28